IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 8302 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI R.TRIPATHI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

P H BHAGWATI SARVAJANIK HOSPITAL

Versus

BHAGAJI DHIRAJI THAKORE

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 8302 of 1999

NANAVATI & NANAVATI for Petitioner No. 1

MR SB BRAHMBHATT for Respondent No. 1

MR DJ BHATT for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI R.TRIPATHI

Date of decision: 24/12/2003

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Civil Application No.5724 of 2003 filed in the

present Special Civil Application is on the Board today. The learned advocates appearing for the parties, i.e the petitioner and the respondent workman have placed on record the consent terms duly signed by the advocates for the petitioner establishment and the respondent workman. One Shri Manibhai Vihidas Patel for the petitioner establishment along with the rubber stamp of the petitioner establishment, and the respondent workman himself are present before the Court and it is stated that on payment of Rs.70,000/-, in full and final settlement of the claims of the respondent workman towards reinstatement in service with full back wages inclusive of other monetary benefits like bonus, encashment of leave, gratuity, which will also include Provident Fund, the matter is settled. Two post dated cheques dated 2.2.2004 and 15.3.2004 towards the above amount are handed over to the respondent workman.

2. In view of that the Special Civil Application challenging the judgement and award dated 7.6.1999 in Reference (LCK) No.323 of 1991 which is fully satisfied, does not survive. In view of that the petition is disposed of. Rule is discharged. Interim relief is vacated. No order as to costs.

(Ravi R. Tripathi, J.)

karim